



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DW 12-03

Paper No. 8

PETER LUDWIG
DARBY & DARBY
805 THIRD AVE.
NEW YORK NY 10022-7513

COPY MAILED

DEC 04 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Mushkin et al. :
Application No. 09/822,939 : DECISION GRANTING PETITION
Filed: 30 March, 2001 :
Attorney Docket No. 2681/0J060US0 :

This is a decision on the petition, filed on 19 November, 2003, under 37 CFR 1.137(f) which is treated as a petition to revive the above-identified nonprovisional application under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

Petitioner states that the present nonprovisional application is the subject of a foreign or international application filed on 27 March, 2002. However, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office was unintentionally not notified of this filing within 45 days subsequent to the filing of the subject application in a foreign country.

In view of the above, this application became abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213(c) for failure to timely notify the Office of the filing of an application in a foreign country, or under a multilateral international agreement, that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing.

A petition under 37 CFR 1.137(f) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reply which is met by the notification of such filing in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty;
- (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); and

Application No. 09/822,939

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date of the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.

The present petition has been found to be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.137(f). Accordingly, the failure to timely notify the Office of a foreign or international filing within 45 days after the date of filing of such foreign or international application as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(I) has been rescinded. It is noted that petitioners filed a Request to Rescind the Nonpublication Request on 15 April, 2002.

This decision is being forwarded to Technology Center 2100 for examination in due course.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to the undersigned at 703.308.6918.



Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions